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My name is Michael Ichniowski, MD, and I am a practicing pediatrician and Chairperson of the Environmental Health Committee of the Maryland Chapter of the American Academy of Pediatrics (MDAAP) and a member of the Maryland State Medical Society (MedChi). This written testimony is presented on behalf of these organizations.

MDAAP is an organization of physicians who provide health care to the children of Maryland and advocate on their behalf in matters concerning their health and well-being. MDAAP, like its parent organization, the American Academy of Pediatrics, supports the prohibition of chlorpyrifos, as proposed for the State of Maryland in HB 275. MedChi also supports this bill.

In considering toxic exposures in children, it is extremely important to be aware of their increased susceptibility to adverse effects by virtue of their ongoing physical and neurological development. Any substance that interferes with these developmental processes can result in lasting and potentially irreversible harm to children. Children are at increased risk because of their smaller size, which results in a higher dose of the toxic substance relative to their body weight. Exposures in pregnant women can affect the growing fetus during the critical times of organ formation, brain development and early growth. Infants and toddlers play and explore at ground level, and their increased hand-to-mouth behavior results in a much greater potential for ingestion of toxic substances in their environment.

The pesticide, chlorpyrifos, is a nerve agent that works by interfering with acetylcholinesterase, an enzyme present throughout the human nervous system. Blocking this enzyme prevents the breakdown of acetylcholine; the resulting increase of this neurotransmitter at nerve endings results in excessive stimulation of the nerves to which they connect, and also the target muscles and organs of these nerves. However, additional mechanisms of neurotoxicity also play a role, as adverse effects have been observed in association with far lower levels than those that produce significant inhibition of acetylcholinesterase. These lower dose toxic effects are of particular concern with in utero exposures, demonstrating the unique susceptibility of the developing fetus to damage from neurotoxins.

Chlorpyrifos can be absorbed through inhalation, by oral ingestion or through the skin. Inhalation can occur from aerial spraying of this pesticide, which can drift and settle well beyond targeted areas. Ingestion can occur from residues of this chemical on treated crops, which include many fruits, vegetables and nuts, and also through drinking water from watersheds in which chlorpyrifos is used. It can cause both acute poisoning from a single toxic exposure as well as cumulative toxicity from chronic exposure to smaller amounts. Because of health concerns associated
with household use of chlorpyrifos, its sale for residential use was prohibited by the Environmental
Protection Agency (EPA), effective December 31, 2001. An extensive review of the evidence of
toxicity from agricultural use, particularly in children and in infants born to exposed mothers, led the
EPA to recommend a total ban on the use of chlorpyrifos to become effective in April, 2016. A further
analysis and report by the EPA in November, 2016, showed risks from dietary exposures and drinking
water, which supported the EPA’s original proposal. Unfortunately, this proposal to revoke all
tolerances for chlorpyrifos, based on the EPA’s own analysis and review of available studies, was
overturned by its Administrator in 2017.

A number of published studies have demonstrated associations between increased exposure to
chlorpyrifos and adverse neurodevelopmental effects. The Columbia Center for Children’s
Environmental Health (CCCEH) of Columbia University in New York City followed a group of inner-
city children with prenatal exposure to chlorpyrifos and compared children with higher and lower levels
of chlorpyrifos in cord blood at birth. At age 3, the children with higher levels had a 2.4 times
greater risk of mental delay; a 4.9 times greater risk of psychomotor delay; a 6.5 times risk of
attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD); an 11.26 times risk of attention disorders; and a
5.39 times risk of pervasive developmental disorder, a group of disorders that includes autism-
spectrum disorders. Continued follow-up of this group at age 7 found decreases in Full-Scale IQ
and the Working Memory Index subtest in association with increasing levels of cord blood
chlorpyrifos levels.

Another group of children in an agricultural community in the Salinas Valley in California was followed
for a number of years and evaluated for possible neurotoxicity in association with prenatal and postnatal
exposures to organophosphate pesticides. Prenatal levels of urinary excretion products were
associated with significant increased risk of attention problems and ADHD at age 5 and with
lower scores for full-scale IQ and on scores for working memory, processing speed, verbal
comprehension and perceptual reasoning in this cohort at age 7. Another study conducted in
California evaluated neurodevelopmental disorders and prenatal residence in proximity to agricultural
pesticide application. Pregnant women living within 1.5 kilometers of an agricultural application of
chlorpyrifos during the second trimester were found to have a 3.3 times increased risk of their
children having an autism spectrum disorder.

EPA estimates of median or typical exposures to chlorpyrifos are likely 5 times greater than its
proposed level of “safe” intake for pregnant women and infants, and up to 11 to 15 times greater
for toddlers and older children. The nation’s Food Quality Protection Act (FQPA) directs the EPA to
revoke permitted pesticide residue levels, or tolerances, when those levels are determined no longer to
be safe. The FQPA further requires an additional tenfold safety factor be applied for potential prenatal
and childhood toxicity compared to adults in considering pesticide safety. Under the FQPA, “safe”
means that “there is reasonable certainty that no harm will result from aggregate exposure to the
pesticide chemical residue, including all anticipated dietary exposures and other exposures for
which there is reliable information.” The growing body of evidence of chlorpyrifos toxicity led the
EPA to propose revoking all tolerances for this pesticide in 2015 and again in 2016. The EPA
Administrator’s decision not to finalize this revocation puts children at unnecessary risk of substantial
and irreversible neurodevelopmental injury. As past AAP President, Dr. Fernando Stein, wrote in an
opinion letter to the New York Times in November, 2017, “Pediatricians are alarmed by the EPA’s
recent decision to allow the continued use of chlorpyrifos…This chemical is unambiguously dangerous
and should be banned from use.” Through HB 275, Maryland has the opportunity to protect its youngest
citizens by banning the use of chlorpyrifos here. MDAAP, along with MedChi, respectfully request a
favorable report for this bill.
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