

MEDCHI, THE MARYLAND STATE MEDICAL SOCIETY
HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution 07-25

INTRODUCED BY: Anne Arundel County Medical Society

SUBJECT: Childhood Trauma and Behavioral Health

Whereas, MedChi, the Maryland State Medical Society passed Resolution 42-24 which supports activities of The Maryland Governor’s Office of Crime and Control and Prevention’s Commission on Trauma-Informed Care and the Commission’s ACEs Aware Workgroup; and

Whereas, the Commission, in consultation with the Maryland Department of Health, the Department of Human Services, and the Maryland Health Care Commission, was charged with studying and implementing an Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACEs) Aware program; and

Whereas, The ACEs Aware Workgroup defined its purpose in the following way: “The ACEs Aware workgroup is to study the ACEs Aware California program, evaluate it as a potential model to be replicated (in whole or part) in Maryland, and ensuring the recommended model includes resources, treatment, and support that are both evidence-based and cost-effective, supporting individual and family health in Maryland”; and

Whereas, the workgroup studied California’s ACEs Aware Program and conducted a review of additional models, including Safe Environment for Every Kid (SEEK), Maryland Behavioral Health Integration in Pediatric Primary Care (BHIPP). And Health Outcomes and Positive Experiences (HOPE); and

Whereas, the Commission, in its 2023 report stated it is not prepared to make final recommendations regarding the implementation of an ACEs Aware program in Maryland, the workgroup did reach consensus that:

1. Further research is necessary due to concerns about utilizing the ACEs tool as an assessment and that Maryland should be looking at other assessment tools
2. Concerns remain about beginning a program of assessment when Maryland is currently lacking resources to treat the extent of trauma in its community
3. Training providers will be beneficial in Maryland, especially if accredited CME/CEUs can be provided and that this training should be broader than simply explaining an assessment tool but rather include a coaching model or in some way enable providers to play this new role competently
4. The need to include third-party reimbursement for providers providing assessments and that enabling providers to receive third-party reimbursement for the additional time that it will take to conduct assessments thoroughly is crucial
5. Any program created for Maryland includes prevention, not just identification and treatment
6. Implementing any program similar to an ACE Aware Program will require significant funding; and

Whereas, Executive Order 01.01.2024.06 transferred the Commission’s staffing and reporting to the Department of Human Services; and

Whereas, the Department of Human Services has not reconstituted or reconvened the Commission and asserts that the Commission has completed its responsibilities and recommends repealing the statute that created the commission; therefore be it

Resolved, that MedChi acknowledges that childhood trauma has a contributory role in the child and adolescent behavioral health crisis in Maryland; and be it further

1
2 Resolved, that MedChi advocate for insurance coverage of clinically appropriate screening tools to better
3 address the child and adolescent behavioral health crisis in Maryland; and be it further
4

5 Resolved, that MedChi supports the continuation of The Maryland Governor's Office of Crime and Control and
6 Prevention's Commission on Trauma-Informed Care and the Commission's ACEs Aware Workgroup and its
7 work.

Fiscal Note: Included in the advocacy budget.

MEDCHI, THE MARYLAND STATE MEDICAL SOCIETY
HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution 08-25

INTRODUCED BY: Anne Arundel County, Howard County, and Prince George's County Medical Society

SUBJECT: Support For Routine Tardive Dyskinesia Screening in Alignment with APA Clinical Guide

Whereas, the American Psychiatric Association (APA) recommends routine screening for tardive dyskinesia (TD) in all patients treated with antipsychotic medications, given the risk of persistent, potentially disabling involuntary movements; and

Whereas, the risk of TD is highest among vulnerable populations, including older adults and individuals with serious mental illness, intellectual and developmental disabilities, central nervous system injury, or substance use disorders, and individuals receiving long-term or high-dose antipsychotics; and

Whereas, the use of antipsychotic medication raises the need for ongoing monitoring for adverse effects; and

Whereas, early identification of TD through validated tools such as the Abnormal Involuntary Movement Scale (AIMS) allows for timely intervention; therefore be it

Resolved, that MedChi, The Maryland State Medical Society supports routine screening for tardive dyskinesia in accordance with APA guidelines and encourages physicians prescribing antipsychotics to implement standard screening practices as part of comprehensive patient care.

Fiscal Note: Included in the advocacy budget.

MEDCHI, THE MARYLAND STATE MEDICAL SOCIETY
HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution 09-25

INTRODUCED BY: Montgomery County Medical Society

SUBJECT: Cosmetic Surgical Facility Patient Safety

1 Whereas, there are an increasing number of poor outcomes in the national media from poor quality care and
2 lack of medical supervision in cosmetic surgical facilities across the country including a death from an IV
3 infusion, infections, burns and scarring, and botched cosmetic injections; and
4

5 Whereas, many of these cosmetic surgical facilities are operated by national chains with a focus on profit; and
6

7 Whereas, patients who receive treatment at cosmetic surgical facilities deserve good quality of care by highly
8 trained health care professionals with appropriate medical supervision; and
9

10 Whereas, cosmetic surgical facilities in Maryland are licensed by and under the oversight of the Office of
11 Health Care Quality in the Department of Health however little is known about their enforcement efforts, be it
12 therefore
13

14 Resolved, that MedChi will: 1) gather information on what enforcement efforts have been undertaken by the
15 State to ensure compliance with existing laws governing cosmetic surgical facilities, 2) seek a legislative audit
16 of enforcement efforts by the Office of Health Care Quality regarding cosmetic surgical facilities, and 3) after
17 obtaining this information, seek regulatory or legislative remedies as appropriate to ensure patient safety.

Fiscal Note: Included in the advocacy budget.

MEDCHI, THE MARYLAND STATE MEDICAL SOCIETY
HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution 10-25

INTRODUCED BY: Montgomery County Medical Society

SUBJECT: Combatting Medical Misinformation

1 Whereas, there is an abundance of medically-related misinformation in the popular press and in social media,
2 and

3
4 Whereas, there is often confusion by physicians and patients about Maryland policies related vaccine access,
5 availability of women's health care options, etc. compared to contiguous states and the District of Columbia,
6 and

7
8 Whereas, 83% of patients trust their physician to provide accurate information, therefore be it

9
10 Resolved, that MedChi develop a public relations strategy and campaign to communicate and post an evidence-
11 based message every 1-2 weeks on social media channels addressing medically-related misinformation which
12 can be amplified by our physician members in their own patient communications and on their own social media
13 platforms.

Fiscal Note: Significant cost to pay for public relations campaigns.

MEDCHI, THE MARYLAND STATE MEDICAL SOCIETY
HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution 11-25

INTRODUCED BY: Montgomery County Medical Society

SUBJECT: Access to and Promotion of Tobacco Cessation and Education Programs

1 Whereas, smoking and tobacco use cause serious health problems and remain a leading cause of preventable
2 disease and death; and
3

4 Whereas, a recent report from the American Lung Association urges Maryland lawmakers to prioritize the
5 protection and preservation of vital funding for tobacco prevention and cessation programs as well as
6 safeguarding the state's Clean Indoor Act from exemptions, to reduce the overwhelming human and financial
7 burden of tobacco use. The 2025 “State of Tobacco Control” report evaluates state and federal policies on
8 actions taken to eliminate tobacco use and recommends proven-effective tobacco control laws and policies to
9 save lives. The “State of Tobacco Control” report grades states and the District of Columbia in five areas that
10 have been proven to prevent and reduce tobacco use and save lives. In the 2025 report, Maryland received the
11 following grades indicating progress yet there is still much work to be done:

- 12 1. Funding for State Tobacco Prevention Programs – Grade F
- 13 2. Strength of Smokefree Workplace Laws – Grade A
- 14 3. Level of State Tobacco Taxes – Grade B
- 15 4. Coverage and Access to Services to Quit Tobacco – Grade A
- 16 5. Ending the Sale of All Flavored Tobacco Products – Grade F

17
18 Whereas, the tobacco industry is also introducing new products that appeal to youth like e-cigarettes that mimic
19 smartphones, kid-friendly flavors and flavored nicotine pouches that are heavily marketed by social media
20 influencers
21

22 Whereas, physicians and medical societies can help patients by promoting education and programs that support
23 quitting tobacco and nicotine use; therefore, be it
24

25 Resolved, that MedChi will:

- 26 1. Add smoking cessation and tobacco education resources to its website for physicians and patients.
 - 27 2. Share and promote programs created by MedChi members that support quitting tobacco use.
 - 28 3. Use MedChi communications (newsletters, e-news, and social media) to spread awareness about smoking
29 cessation programs.
 - 30 4. Work with state policymakers and community partners to expand access to tobacco education and cessation
31 support.
-

Fiscal Note: Minor cost for promotion and dissemination of information.

MEDCHI, THE MARYLAND STATE MEDICAL SOCIETY
HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution 12-25

INTRODUCED BY: Medical Student Section
Authored by: Komal Gandhi, Umailla Naeem, of University of Maryland, School of Medicine

SUBJECT: Maintaining Broad Access to COVID-19 Vaccination in Maryland

1 Whereas, COVID-19 remains a significant public health concern, with over 1.1 million Americans having died
2 from COVID-19 or related complications since 2020;1 and

3
4 Whereas, COVID-19 vaccines have been estimated to prevent 3.2 million deaths in the United States and
5 extensive studies consistently demonstrate their safety and efficacy in reducing severe illness, hospitalization,
6 and death across all age groups;2 and

7
8 Whereas, the federal government has recently restricted eligibility for COVID-19 vaccines to individuals aged
9 65 and older or adults with predisposing health conditions;3 and

10
11 Whereas, until September 2025, both the FDA and the CDC have recommended the COVID-19 vaccines in
12 patients ages 6 months and older;4 and

13
14 Whereas, changes in federal vaccine distribution and insurance coverage policies may create barriers to
15 COVID-19 vaccine access for certain populations, particularly younger adults and those without underlying
16 health conditions; and

17
18 Whereas, maintaining broad vaccine access is essential for community-wide protection, reducing transmission,
19 and protecting vulnerable populations through community immunity; and

20
21 Whereas, Maryland has a demonstrated commitment to public health and has previously taken proactive
22 measures to ensure vaccine accessibility during public health emergencies; and

23
24 Whereas, other states like Massachusetts have enacted state orders to maintain broad access to COVID-19
25 vaccines and ensured insurance coverage;5 therefore be it

26
27 Resolved, that MedChi, The Maryland State Medical Society, affirms the importance of maintaining broad
28 access to COVID-19 vaccines for all Maryland residents aged 6 months and older, regardless of underlying
29 health conditions, consistent with CDC recommendations; and be it further

30
31 Resolved, that MedChi, The Maryland State Medical Society, supports state-level policies that ensure continued
32 insurance coverage and accessibility of COVID-19 vaccines for all age-eligible Marylanders; and be it further

33
34 Resolved, that MedChi, The Maryland State Medical Society, encourage the Maryland Department of Health to
35 issue a standing order authorizing pharmacies and other licensed vaccinators to administer COVID-19 vaccines
36 to all eligible persons as defined by state guidance.

Fiscal Note: Included in the advocacy budget.

References:

- 1.CDC. Surveillance and Data Analytics. CDC. Published September 5, 2025. Accessed September 14, 2025. <https://www.cdc.gov/covid/php/surveillance/index.html?utm>
- 2.Fitzpatrick M, Moghadas S, Pandey A, Galvani A. Two Years of U.S. COVID-19 Vaccines Have Prevented Millions of Hospitalizations and Deaths. [www.commonwealthfund.org](https://www.commonwealthfund.org/blog/2022/two-years-covid-vaccines-prevented-millions-deaths-hospitalizations). Published December 13, 2022. <https://www.commonwealthfund.org/blog/2022/two-years-covid-vaccines-prevented-millions-deaths-hospitalizations>
- 3.Pages - Vaccines. [Maryland.gov](https://health.maryland.gov/covid/Pages/Vaccines.aspx). Published September 11, 2025. Accessed September 13, 2025. <https://health.maryland.gov/covid/Pages/Vaccines.aspx>
- 4.CDC. COVID-19 Vaccine: What You Need to Know. CDC; 2025. Accessed September 13, 2025. <https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/hcp/current-vis/downloads/covid-19.pdf>
- 5.Governor Healey Announces Immediate Steps to Ensure Vaccine Availability in Massachusetts Amid Trump, RFK Rollbacks. [Mass.gov](https://www.mass.gov/news/governor-healey-announces-immediate-steps-to-ensure-vaccine-availability-in-massachusetts-amid-trump-rfk-rollbacks). Published 2025. <https://www.mass.gov/news/governor-healey-announces-immediate-steps-to-ensure-vaccine-availability-in-massachusetts-amid-trump-rfk-rollbacks>

HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution 13-25

INTRODUCED BY: Medical Student Section
 Authored by: Ursula Gately, Johns Hopkins University, School of Medicine

SUBJECT: Environmental Determinants of Health and Human Well-being

Whereas, environmental factors such as air and water quality, chemical exposures, climate conditions, and the built environment play a fundamental role in human health and are key contributors to both disease and well-being;

Whereas, poor air quality—caused by industrial emissions, vehicle exhaust, and other pollutants—is a well-documented driver of respiratory diseases such as asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), as well as heart disease and stroke, increasing healthcare costs and reducing quality of life; and

Whereas, access to clean water is essential for preventing gastrointestinal illnesses, neurological disorders, and developmental issues in children, and ensuring safe drinking water infrastructure is a foundational public health responsibility; and

Whereas, extreme weather events—including heat waves, wildfires, and hurricanes—are placing greater stress on healthcare systems, increasing emergency visits, and endangering vulnerable populations such as children, the elderly, and those with preexisting conditions; and

Whereas, exposure to hazardous chemicals, including pesticides, industrial byproducts, and heavy metals, has been linked to chronic diseases such as cancer, endocrine disorders, and neurological conditions, underscoring the need for responsible chemical management and consumer protections; and

Whereas, environmental injustice is a public health crisis, with marginalized communities—particularly low-income populations, communities of color, Indigenous peoples, and immigrant communities—disproportionately burdened by pollution, toxic exposures, and environmental degradation, leading to preventable disease and premature death⁵; and

Whereas, well-planned communities with green spaces, safe housing, and reliable transportation options promote physical activity, mental health, and lower rates of chronic illness, reducing the burden on the healthcare system and improving quality of life; and

Whereas, workplace safety and environmental conditions affect worker health, with industries such as agriculture, construction, and manufacturing carrying higher risks of respiratory illness, heat-related illnesses, and chemical exposures that impact both individual well-being and workforce productivity⁷; therefore be it

Resolved, that MedChi recognizes environmental determinants of health as key factors influencing disease prevention and patient care, and advocates for their integration into physician training, clinical practice, and public health initiatives; and be it further

Resolved, that MedChi encourages physicians to assess for environmental determinants of health in patient history-taking and encourages the incorporation of assessment for environmental determinants of health in patient history-taking into physician training; and be it further

Resolved, that MedChi adopt AMA Policy D-135.997 Resolve 3:
Our AMA encourages federal, state, and local agencies to address and remediate environmental injustice, environmental racism, and all other environmental conditions that are adversely impacting health, especially in marginalized communities; and be it further

Resolved, that MedChi will advocate for policy that protects and promotes the health and wellbeing of communities overburdened by challenges posed by environmental determinants of health, including but not limited to infrastructure planning, land use, and environmental standards.

Fiscal Note: Included in the advocacy budget.

References:

1. Perera F, Nadeau K. Climate Change, Fossil-Fuel Pollution, and Children's Health. *N Engl J Med*. 2022;386(24):2303-2314. doi:10.1056/NEJMra2117706 ([PubMed](#))
2. Hutton G, Chase C. Water Supply, Sanitation, and Hygiene. In: Mock CN, Nugent R, Kobusingye O, et al, eds. *Injury Prevention and Environmental Health*. 3rd ed. Washington (DC): The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development / The World Bank; 2017:Chapter 9. doi:10.1596/978-1-4648-0522-6_ch9 ([NCBI](#))
3. World Health Organization. Climate change and health. Accessed [August 1, 2025]. <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/climate-change-and-health> ([World Health Organization](#))
4. Mitra S, Chakraborty AJ, Tareq AM, Emran TB, Nainu F, Khusro A, et al. Impact of heavy metals on the environment and human health: Novel therapeutic insights to counter the toxicity. *J King Saud Univ Sci*. 2022;34(3):101865. doi:10.1016/j.jksus.2022.101865 ([ScienceDirect](#))
5. Racial disparities in environmental exposure (for example): Racism as a public health issue in environmental health disparities and environmental justice: Working toward solutions. *Environ Health*. 2024. doi:10.1186/s12940-024-01052-8 ([BioMed Central](#))
6. *Heavy Metals Toxicity and the Environment*. Paul B. Tchounwou, Clement G Yedjou, Anita K Patlolla, Dwayne J Sutton. EXS. 2012;101:133-164. doi:10.1007/978-3-7643-8340-4_6 ([PMC](#))
7. Effects on occupational health and safety. In: European Climate and Health Observatory. Accessed [August, 1, 2025]. <https://climate-adapt.eea.europa.eu/en/observatory/evidence/health-effects/occupational-health-safety> ([Climate-ADAPT](#))

MEDCHI, THE MARYLAND STATE MEDICAL SOCIETY
HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution 14-25

INTRODUCED BY: Medical Student Section
Authored by: Komal Gandhi, Umailla Naeem, of University of Maryland, School
of Medicine

SUBJECT: Supporting Health Equity Education in Maryland Medical Schools

1 Whereas, a recent national survey found that 21% of U.S. adults reported experiencing discrimination in the
2 health care system, with 72% of those individuals experiencing it more than once;1 and

3
4 Whereas, nearly half of health care workers nationwide (47%) report witnessing discrimination against patients
5 in their own facilities, and over half (52%) identify racism against patients as a major problem; and

6
7 Whereas, Maryland’s medical schools train physicians who serve a highly diverse population, with Baltimore
8 City being 59.3% Black or African American, 3.81% Hispanic, 2.49% Asian, and 3.4% multiracial, requiring
9 culturally responsive care for these diverse communities; and

10
11 Whereas, Maryland currently requires physicians to complete implicit bias training upon first license renewal
12 (House Bill 28, Senate Bill 5); and

13
14 Whereas, research demonstrates that standardized health equity education integrated throughout medical school
15 curricula is more effective than isolated cultural competency in changing physician behavior and reducing
16 healthcare disparities; and

17
18 Whereas, evidence shows that comprehensive health equity education that includes evidence-based instruction
19 on health disparities, social determinants of health, and the specific needs of vulnerable populations —
20 including racial and ethnic minorities, LGBTQ+ individuals, people with disabilities, and those from rural or
21 low-income communities — improves patient care, promotes cultural competence, and increases patient
22 adherence and satisfaction; and

23
24 Whereas, some states have enacted legislation restricting instruction on topics related to diversity, equity and
25 inclusion in higher education, potentially limiting medical students’ preparation to care for diverse patient
26 populations; and

27
28 Whereas, some states have enacted legislation or policies that protect or promote the teaching of health
29 disparities and DEI content in medical education; and

30
31 Whereas, Maryland has demonstrated commitment to health equity through legislation supporting diversity,
32 equity, and inclusion initiatives and MedChi has previously supported health equity initiatives that address
33 health disparities and social determinants of health;10 and

34
35 Whereas, the American Medical Association (AMA) has demonstrated support for diversity in medical
36 education and recognition of the critical necessity to incorporate DEI efforts in medical training (AMA policy
37 D-295.963); therefore be it

38
39 Resolved, that MedChi, The Maryland State Medical Society, affirms that comprehensive health equity

education, including instruction on social determinants of health, healthcare disparities, and culturally responsive care, is essential to medical education and improved patient outcomes; and be it further

Resolved, that MedChi, The Maryland State Medical Society, supports requiring Maryland medical schools to implement standardized health equity curricula with measurable learning objectives and competency assessments that prepare students to address healthcare disparities and provide culturally responsive care; and be it further

Resolved, that MedChi, The Maryland State Medical Society, opposes any legislative or regulatory restrictions that would limit evidence-based medical education content related to health disparities, social determinants of health, or approaches to reducing healthcare inequities in Maryland medical schools; and be it further

Resolved, that MedChi, The Maryland State Medical Society, encourages Maryland medical schools to integrate health equity content longitudinally throughout all four years of medical education rather than limiting it to isolated courses or modules.

Fiscal Note: Included in the advocacy budget.

References:

1. Nong P, Raj M, Creary M, Kardia SLR, Platt JE. Patient-Reported Experiences of Discrimination in the US Health Care System. *JAMA Network Open*. 2020;3(12):e2029650. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.29650>
2. The Commonwealth Fund. New Report: Nearly Half of Health Care Workers Witness Racial Discrimination Against Patients; Inequality in Treatment for Patients of Color and Non-English Speakers. www.commonwealthfund.org. Published February 15, 2024. <https://www.commonwealthfund.org/press-release/2024/new-report-nearly-half-health-care-workers-witness-racial-discrimination-against>
3. Maryland Department of Health. Office of Minority Health and Health Disparities Annual Report 2024.; 2025. https://health.maryland.gov/mhhd/Documents/HG%2020-1006_MDH_MHHD%20Annual%20Report%202024.pdf; DataUSA. (2023). Baltimore, MD demographic profile. Retrieved from <https://datausa.io/profile/geo/baltimore-md/>
4. Maryland Department of Health. Pages - Implicit Bias Resources. Maryland.gov Enterprise Agency Template. <https://health.maryland.gov/mhhd/Pages/Implicit-Bias-Resources.aspx>
5. Mainous AG, Xie Z, Yadav S, Williams M, Blue AV, Hong YR. Physician Cultural Competency Training and Impact on Behavior: Evidence From the 2016 National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey. *Family Medicine*. 2020;52(8):562-569. doi:<https://doi.org/10.22454/fammed.2020.163135>
6. Brunett M, Shingles RR. Does Having a Culturally Competent Health Care Provider Affect the Patients' Experience or Satisfaction? A Critically Appraised Topic. *Journal of Sport Rehabilitation*. 2018;27(3):284-288. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1123/jsr.2016-0123>
7. Bumiller E. Fearing Retribution, Trump Critics Muzzle Themselves. *The New York Times*. <https://www.nytimes.com/2025/03/06/us/politics/trump-democracy.html>. Published March 6, 2025.
8. Guerra P, Maio FD, Streed CG. Facing Political Attacks on Medical Education — The Future of Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion in Medicine. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2025;392(10). doi:<https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmp2500489>
9. Blackstock OJ, Isom JE, Legha RK. Health care is the new battlefield for anti-DEI attacks. *PLOS Global Public Health*. 2024;4(4):e0003131-e0003131. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgph.0003131>
10. Maryland General Assembly. Legislation - SJ0003. Maryland.gov. Published 2025. <https://mgaleg.maryland.gov/mgawebsite/Legislation/Details/SJ0003?>
11. Policy Finder | AMA. Ama-assn.org. Published 2025. Accessed September 14, 2025. <https://policysearch.ama-assn.org/policyfinder/detail/medical%20education%20dei?uri=%2FAMADoc%2Fdirectives.xml-0-851.xml>

MEDCHI, THE MARYLAND STATE MEDICAL SOCIETY
HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution 15-25

INTRODUCED BY: MedChi Medical Student Section
 Authored by: Matthew Wilhide, University of Maryland, School of Medicine

SUBJECT: Increasing Involvement of Physicians in Decision-Making Committees for
 Medical and Geriatric Parole

1 Whereas, the prison population in Maryland over the age of 55 has more than quadrupled from 1999-2019¹; and

2
3 Whereas, 21% of incarcerated individuals in Maryland were over the age of 51 as of 2022²; and

4
5 Whereas, estimates have shown that health care costs of incarcerated individuals age over 65 add as much as
6 \$53,000 per individual per year, 3-5x more expensive than their younger counterparts³; and

7
8 Whereas, medical and geriatric parole in Maryland has historically been difficult to obtain with 86 incarcerated
9 individuals granted medical parole between 2015 and 2020 and only 1 granted geriatric parole in the last 10
10 years according to the chief parole commissioner⁴; and

11
12 Whereas, the passing of Maryland State Senate bill SB181 in 2025 expands eligibility of incarcerated
13 individuals over 65 that have served longer than 20 years to be evaluated for medical/geriatric parole and
14 removes the need for authorization by the governor⁵; and

15
16 Whereas, physicians' roles in the current medical and geriatric parole process involve evaluation and
17 recommendation of the incarcerated individual to the Maryland Parole Commission⁶ ; and,

18
19 Whereas, physicians are not currently involved in the final process of deciding who is granted medical parole;
20 and

21
22 Whereas, the California State Legislature has proposed the formation of medical parole panels, made up of
23 physicians, psychologists, and correctional authorities to collaboratively weigh in on medical and geriatric
24 parole decisions⁷; and

25
26 Whereas, increasing the involvement of physicians in the direct process of making medical parole decisions
27 would ensure that proper measures are taken by the commission to understand the individual complexities of
28 each medical case and improve the odds of eligible inmates receiving justified parole; therefore be it

29
30 Resolved, that MedChi make an official stance in support of increasing physician involvement in medical and
31 geriatric parole decisions either through appointment of physicians directly to the commissions responsible for
32 decisions or through the creation of medical parole panels consisting of physicians and other correctional
33 officials.

Fiscal Note: Included in the advocacy budget.

References:

1. Prison Policy Initiative. (2019). State prison populations aged 55 and older, 1999-2019. https://www.prisonpolicy.org/data/aging_1999_2019.html
2. Maryland Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services (July 2022). July 2022 Inmate Characteristics.

- <https://dpscs.maryland.gov/publicinfo/publications/pdfs/Inmate%20Characteristics%20Report%20FY%202022%20Q4.pdf>
3. The Justice Policy Institute. The Ungers, 5 Years and Counting: A Case Study In Safely Reducing Long Prison Terms and Saving Taxpayer Dollars. https://static.prisonpolicy.org/scans/The_Ungers_5_Years_and_Counting.pdf
4. Maryland Parole Commission (2020). MPIA Request from Maryland Parole Commission. https://mgaleg.maryland.gov/cmte_testimony/2025/jud/1Y69S_ds3vHf6yZwaDris98DTJKcHhxtE.pdf
5. Maryland State Senate. (2025, April 4) SB181: Correctional Services – Geriatric and Medical Parole. https://mgaleg.maryland.gov/2025RS/Chapters_noln/CH_102_sb0181e.pdf
6. FAMM Compassionate Release. (2025) Maryland Medical Parole. <https://famm.org/wp-content/uploads/2025/07/MD-Memo-2025-FINAL.pdf>
7. California Assembly. (2022, Sept. 9) Bill 960: Compassionate Release. <https://legiscan.com/CA/text/AB960/id/2591063>

MEDCHI, THE MARYLAND STATE MEDICAL SOCIETY
HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution 16-25

INTRODUCED BY: MedChi Opioid, Pain, and Addiction Committee

SUBJECT: Reduction of Insurance Barriers to the Use of Buprenorphine for Pain

Whereas, non-pharmacologic and non-opioid pharmacologic treatments are considered to be the first-line options for management of chronic non-cancer pain, and some experts have recommended that long-term opioids not be initiated (NICE 2021) (VA/DOD 2022) or should be rarely initiated (ASAM Pain & Addiction Essentials”) for chronic non-cancer pain because of their limited effectiveness and the fact that they continue to be significant factors in overdose (OD) deaths and the development of opioid use disorder (OUD); and

Whereas, if initiating a trial of an opioid is felt to be warranted for chronic non-cancer pain, reducing barriers to the use of the partial opioid buprenorphine, if a full opioid would otherwise be used, is likely to reduce the incidence of OUD and OD. The 2022 VA/DoD Practice Guidelines on the Use of Opioids in the Management of Chronic Pain recommend that “For patients receiving daily opioids for the treatment of chronic pain, we suggest the use of buprenorphine instead of full agonist opioids due to lower risk of overdose and misuse” (VA/DoD 2022); and

Whereas, pharmaceutical industry promotion of widespread use of full opioids has contributed to the current epidemics of OUD and of OD (Ballantyne 2017) (Dowell 2016) and continues to contribute to these epidemics. County-by-county opioid sales in the U.S. have been closely associated with subsequent county-by-county heroin and fentanyl-related death rates according to CDC and DEA data.; (Washington Post 2023); and

Whereas, while the number of opioid prescriptions per capita has declined in the U.S., the volume of opioid prescribing per capita, in terms of morphine milligram equivalents (MME), remains approximately double what it was at the start of the opioid epidemic. (IQVIA Institute, 2021). More opioids per capita are prescribed in the U.S. than in any other nation. (CRS 2021) The new onset of long-term opioid use is now a common complication of both minor and major routine surgery. (Brummett 2017) (Larach 2023); and

Whereas, approximately one in ten patients on long-term prescription opioids for pain have OUD and approximately one in five have opioid misuse; (Vowles 2015) and Whereas, there is insufficient evidence of effectiveness of long-term opioids for chronic non-cancer pain; (CDC 2022); and

Whereas, in its 2022 review of all 70 randomized controlled trials of opioid effectiveness for pain or function after at least one month of therapy, the Agency for Health Care Research and Quality (AHRQ) has concluded that, for opioids compared to non-opioid pharmacotherapy: there is evidence of lack of effectiveness for pain or function at any duration of therapy greater than one month, and for opioids compared to placebo: (1) There is evidence of slight effectiveness (average reduction of 0.8 points on a 0 – 10-point scale) for pain or function at 1 – 6 months, (2) There is no-evidence of effectiveness at 6-12 months, and (3) There is evidence of lack of effectiveness at 12 months; (AHRQ 2022); and

Whereas, available evidence shows that buprenorphine has an effect on pain that is comparable to full opioids. That is, with a low effect size of about -0.8 points on a 0 – 10-point scale when used for up to approximately 3 months, with waning effectiveness over time, and no effectiveness compared with non-opioid analgesics; (Wong 2023); and

Whereas, unlike full opioids, buprenorphine is relatively safe, is not known to result in OUD, and reduces OD by 50 -80% when used to treat OUD. (Like all opioids, buprenorphine leads to physical opioid dependence with sustained therapy). Buprenorphine’s safety is consistent with the fact that, unlike full opioids, it has a ceiling effect for respiratory depression and euphoria, but not for analgesia; (Wong 2023) (Pergolizzi 2019) (Auriacombe 2004); and

Whereas, insurance formulary practices in Maryland typically impose significantly greater restrictions (such as prior authorization, exclusion from preferred drug lists, and higher costs) on the use of partial opioid agonists (i.e., buprenorphine) FDA-approved for pain than they do for full opioid agonists, that likely leads to the use of full opioids preferentially; and

Whereas, this resolution is also supported by the Maryland-DC Society of Addiction Medicine, therefore be it

Resolved, that MedChi will introduce legislation in the 2026 and/or 2027 session of the Maryland General Assembly to reduce costs and other insurance barriers to the use of buprenorphine for pain so they are comparable to those for full opioids for pain.

Fiscal Note: Included in Advocacy budget.

References:

- NICE Guideline 2021’: “Do not initiate opioids to manage chronic primary pain in people aged 16 years and over.” Recommendation of the U.K. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/NG193>
- VA/DoD 2022: Practice Guidelines Use of Opioids in the Management of Chronic Pain: “Recommendation #1 We recommend against initiation of long-term opioid therapy for chronic pain. (Strength of recommendation: strong)” (2022) <https://www.healthquality.va.gov/guidelines/Pain/cot/VADoDOpioidsCPG.pdf>
- The ASAM Pain & Addiction Essentials: “. . . It should be a rare patient where we start long-term opioids” online course offered by the American Society of Addiction Medicine (ASAM), module 4, ASAM.org - 'education' - 'e-learning center.'
- Ballantyne JC. Opioids for the Treatment of Chronic Pain: Mistakes Made, Lessons Learned, and Future Directions. *Anesth Analg.* 2017 Nov;125(5):1769-1778. Review.
- Dowell D et al. CDC Guideline for Prescribing Opioids for Chronic Pain—United States, 2016 *JAMA.* 2016 Apr; 315(15): 1624–1645.
- Washington Post: ‘Overdoses soared even as prescription pain pills plunged’ Sept 12, 2023 <https://www.washingtonpost.com/investigations/2023/09/12/us-overdose-deaths-opioid-crisis/>
- IQVIA - U.S. per capita MME: 333 billion in 2020 vs. 148 billion in 1992; IQVIA Institute, “National Prescription Audit” extracted March 2021, U.S. Census Bureau. As presented at 2021 FDA workshop at 1:15:00. <https://healthpolicy.duke.edu/events/fda-public-workshop-opioid-prescriber-education>
- CRS Congressional Research Service. Consumption of prescription opioids for pain: a comparison of opioid use in the United States and other countries. (pg. 1) Washington, DC (2021). <https://crsreports.congress.gov/product/pdf/R/R46805>
- Brummett CM, et al. New persistent opioid use after minor and major surgical procedures in US adults. *JAMA Surg.* 2017;152(6):e170504 www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28403427
- Larach DB, et al. Perioperative opioids, the opioid crisis, and the anesthesiologist. *Anesthesiology* 2022; 136: pp. 594-608. <https://pubs.asahq.org/anesthesiology/article/136/4/594/118341/Perioperative-Opioids-the-Opioid-Crisis-and-the>
- Vowles KE, et al. Rates of opioid misuse, abuse, and addiction in chronic pain: a systematic review and data synthesis. *Pain.* 2015;156(4):569-576. CDC Clinical Practice Guideline for Prescribing Opioids for Pain — United States, 2022
- AHRQ: Systematic Review: Opioid Treatments for Chronic Pain. 2022. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. <https://effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/products/opioids-chronic-pain/research>.
- Chou, Roger: Presentation: ASAM Pain and Addiction: Common Threads Course XXV - April 2024 Session 2: The State of Evidence-based Pain Care, accessed 7-29-2024 https://elearning.asam.org/products/asam-pain-and-addiction-common-threads-course-xxv-2024#tab-product_tab_contents__18
- Wong SSC, et. al. Analgesic Effect of Buprenorphine for Chronic Noncancer Pain: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Anesth Analg.* 2023 Jul 1;137(1):59
- Pergolizzi JV et al. Safety And Efficacy Of The Unique Opioid Buprenorphine For The Treatment Of Chronic Pain. *J Pain Res.* 2019; 12: 3299–3317.
- Auriacombe M et al. French field experience with buprenorphine. *Am J Addict.* 2004;13 Suppl 1:S17-28.

MEDCHI, THE MARYLAND STATE MEDICAL SOCIETY
HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution 17-25

INTRODUCED BY: Prince George's County Medical Society

SUBJECT: Food As Medicine

1 Whereas A healthy diet helps to protect against malnutrition in all its forms, as well as noncommunicable
2 diseases (NCDs), including diabetes, heart disease, stroke and cancer; and
3

4 Whereas Access to nutritious food is critical to health and resilience and Food is Medicine is a concept that
5 reaffirms this connection, recognizing that access to high-quality nourishment is essential for well-being; and
6

7 Whereas the United States Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion define Food Is Medicine as the
8 “means that food and nutrition can help improve health, and that access to nutritious food is essential for well-
9 being”; and
10

11 Whereas Montgomery County Maryland created a “Food As Medicine Grant Program that funds innovative
12 initiatives that screen pediatric patients for food insecurity and provide nutritious food and food education
13 services; and
14

15 Whereas Prince George's County Maryland is considering legislation a to create a” Food As Medicine Health
16 Program” to plan, implement, expand, and evaluate medically supportive food and nutrition interventions to
17 improve public health outcomes; and
18

19 Whereas the mission of MedChi, The Maryland State Medical Society includes advocacy for patients and the
20 public health; therefore be it

21 Resolved, that MedChi, The Maryland State Medical Society is committed to ensuring access to and education
22 about nutritious food; and be it further
23

24 Resolved, that MedChi, The Maryland State Medical Society will work with healthcare practitioners and
25 advocacy organizations to promote awareness of the importance of nutrition in disease prevention and overall
26 health.

Fiscal Note: Minor cost for educational materials.

MEDCHI, THE MARYLAND STATE MEDICAL SOCIETY
HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution 18-25

INTRODUCED BY: Prince George's County Medical Society

SUBJECT: Addressing Vitamin D Deficiency

1 Whereas, Vitamin D is a crucial foundational nutrient essential for human health, synthesized through the
2 interaction of the skin with sunlight, vitamin D is a nutrient the body needs for skeletal growth. muscle growth,
3 inflammatory modulation, and cardiopulmonary function; and
4

5 Whereas vitamin D deficiency has been associated with extra-skeletal conditions, such as infection, cancer,
6 diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular disease, autoimmune disease and increased emergency room utilization and
7 hospitalization; and
8

9 Whereas vitamin D plays a role in fall prevention, cognitive health, and the prevention of multiple sclerosis and
10 osteoporosis; and
11

12 Whereas approximately thirty-five percent of adults in the United States have vitamin D deficiency and it is
13 more prevalent among women, children and individuals with darker skin; and
14

15 Whereas most Americans are unable to get sufficient levels of vitamin D from the sun and diet alone; therefore
16 be it
17

18 Resolved, that MedChi, The Maryland State Medical Society shall advocate for the Maryland Department of
19 Health to review and develop strategies to address Vitamin D deficiency among Marylanders.

Fiscal Note: Included in the advocacy budget.

MEDCHI, THE MARYLAND STATE MEDICAL SOCIETY
HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution 19-25

INTRODUCED BY: Anne Arundel County Medical Society, Baltimore City Medical Society
Baltimore County Medical Association, Howard County Medical Association,
Montgomery County Medical Association, Prince George's County Medical
Society, and Queen Anne's County Medical Society

SUBJECT: MedChi Advocacy on Tort Reform Remain a Top Priority

1 Whereas, MedChi, The Maryland State Medical Society, has for decades been the leading advocate for fair tort
2 reform and liability protections for physicians and their patients; and
3

4 Whereas, MedChi played a pivotal role in enacting and defending Maryland's expert witness protections, which
5 ensure that medical liability cases are adjudicated on sound medical standards and not frivolous testimony; and
6

7 Whereas, MedChi has consistently fought to preserve Maryland's reasonable cap on non-economic damages, a
8 cornerstone of liability reform that protects patient access to care by maintaining physician practice viability
9 and stabilizing liability insurance markets; and
10

11 Whereas, in each of the past two legislative sessions, MedChi successfully led the coalition that defeated efforts
12 to repeal or weaken the non-economic damages cap, thereby protecting physicians, hospitals, and patients from
13 the destabilizing effects of unlimited liability exposure; and
14

15 Whereas, MedChi's leadership in Annapolis on tort reform has long been recognized by legislators, allied
16 organizations, and the physician community as critical to maintaining a balanced legal environment for the
17 practice of medicine in Maryland; therefore be it
18

19 Resolved, that MedChi reaffirms tort reform as one of its highest advocacy priorities; and be it further
20

21 Resolved, that MedChi continue to vigorously oppose any attempts to weaken Maryland's tort reform
22 protections, including efforts to repeal the cap on non-economic damages or undermine expert witness
23 safeguards.

Fiscal Note: Included in the advocacy budget.

MEDCHI, THE MARYLAND STATE MEDICAL SOCIETY
HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution 20-25

INTRODUCED BY: Anne Arundel County, Howard County, and Prince George's County Medical Society

SUBJECT: MedChi's Mandated Benefits Policy

1 Whereas, in 1993, after the General Assembly created the Maryland Health Care Commission to design the
2 basic benefit package for the small group market, MedChi informally adopted the policy that it would remain
3 neutral on legislation regarding mandated benefits; and
4

5 Whereas, MedChi adopted this policy based on the rationale that each mandated benefit may only affect a
6 particular specialty and that it was more appropriate for the specialty societies to determine each mandated
7 benefit; and
8

9 Whereas, due to the number of bills introduced on mandated benefits, the General Assembly enacted Section
10 15-1501 of the Insurance Article, which requires the Commission to evaluate each potential mandate for social,
11 medical, and financial cost and report back to the General Assembly on the results of the evaluation before the
12 adoption of the mandated benefit; and
13

14 Whereas, during the Fall 2022 MedChi House of Delegates, the MedChi IDEA Committee proposed Resolution
15 10-22 – Reconsidering MedChi's Approach to Advocacy Related to Insurance Mandates requiring MedChi to
16 review its advocacy related to mandated insurance benefits and report back to the House of Delegates with
17 recommended updates; and
18

19 Whereas, no further action was taken, and MedChi's policy to support mandated benefits has become
20 fragmented; therefore be it
21

22 Resolved, that it is MedChi policy that resolution involving "mandated benefits" will only be directly referred
23 to the Health Insurance Subcommittee of the MedChi Council on Legislation for consideration if it:

- 24 1. Has been reviewed by the Maryland Health Care Commission or by Medicaid;
25 2. Is an extension or modification of a previously MedChi-supported mandated benefit; or
26 3. Is supported by MedChi through a resolution or other MedChi initiative; and
27 that all other bills regarding mandated benefits will be placed on the "For Your Information" (FYI) list and will
28 only be referred to and considered by the Health Insurance Subcommittee if:
29 1. Requested by a member of the Council on Legislation;
30 2. The requester attends the Health Insurance Subcommittee and provides specific clinical reasons for MedChi
31 support;
32 3. If applicable, the specialty society primarily affected by the mandated benefit actively supports the legislation;
33 and
34 4. The requestor works with counsel to provide the clinical rationale for MedChi's position statement.
-

Fiscal Note: Included in the advocacy budget

MEDCHI, THE MARYLAND STATE MEDICAL SOCIETY
HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution 21-25

INTRODUCED BY: Montgomery County Medical Society

SUBJECT: Credentialing Application Processing Penalty Paid After 21 Days and Contracting
 Timelines

Whereas, delays in health plan credentialing and contracting can take more than six months, leaving physicians without updates or clear determinations, impeding patient access and provider reimbursement; and

Whereas, the current process often requires duplicative and/or irrelevant information, lacks transparency, and fails to provide timely responses further complicating the delaying the application process; and

Whereas, Maryland law requires plans to maintain network adequacy and accessible communication channels, but stronger enforcement and shorter timelines are needed; and

Whereas, credentialing delays directly impact patient access to care and a physician's ability to be paid by insurers for services rendered; therefore, be it

Resolved, that MedChi advocate for legislation/regulation requiring all Maryland health plans and Medicaid MCOs to:

- Accept a current CAQH application as a valid credentialing application;
 - Provide written acknowledgment of receipt of a fully executed application or deficiency notice within ten (10) business days of application submission;
 - Complete credentialing, accept participation, and issue contracts within thirty (30) days (reduced from 120);
 - Make contracts effective immediately upon physician and/or clinician signature, with payment for services starting at that time;
 - Notify applicants within twenty-one (21) days if network adequacy has been met and the application will not be approved; and
 - Retroactively pay claims to the date of receipt of a fully executed application; and
 - Once the credentialing application is approved, a contract must be presented by the health plan or MCO to the physician within fourteen (14) days to commence no longer than thirty (30) days after execution; and
 - Seek appropriate penalties from the Maryland Insurance Administration if these timeframes are not met.
-

Fiscal Note: Included in the advocacy budget.

MEDCHI, THE MARYLAND STATE MEDICAL SOCIETY
HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution 22-25

INTRODUCED BY: Baltimore County Medical Association

SUBJECT: Removing the Medicaid Carveout for Behavioral Health Services

1 Whereas, Maryland's HealthChoice program, which created a managed care system for Medicaid recipients, has
2 been operational since 1997; and
3

4 Whereas, under HealthChoice, behavioral health services for Medicaid recipients are "carved out" and
5 administered through a separate contract and provider network; and
6

7 Whereas, Medicaid recipients in Maryland must navigate separate insurance providers for behavioral health and
8 physical health services; and
9

10 Whereas, physical and behavioral health conditions frequently co-occur in the same individuals and require
11 coordinated care; and
12

13 Whereas, the separation of services into two systems often leads to confusion for Medicaid recipients and
14 creates barriers to integrated care; and
15

16 Whereas, during the 2024 Session, SB212 directed the Commission in Behavioral Health Treatment and Access
17 to make recommendations regarding the continuation of the state's behavioral health carve-out and the
18 financing structure and quality oversight necessary to integrate somatic and behavioral health services and
19 ensure compliance with the Mental Health Parity and Addiction Equity Act in the Maryland Medical Assistance
20 Program; and
21

22 Whereas, healthcare systems in Maryland have become significantly more integrated since the implementation
23 of the behavioral health carve-out; therefore be it
24

25 Resolved, that MedChi, The Maryland State Medical Society, supports a more coordinated and integrated
26 behavioral healthcare model than that currently provided by the behavioral health carve-out for patients covered
27 by the Maryland Medical Assistance Program.

Fiscal Note: Included in Advocacy budget

MEDCHI, THE MARYLAND STATE MEDICAL SOCIETY
HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution 23-25

INTRODUCED BY: Baltimore County Medical Association and Maryland Academy of Family Physicians

SUBJECT: Preserving Maryland's Physician-Led Primary Care Value-Based Care Models

1 Whereas, MedChi has demonstrated outstanding leadership in preserving physician engagement in value-based
2 care by securing the continuation of the Episode Quality Improvement Program (EQIP) through its advocacy
3 during the development of the federal AHEAD model; and
4

5 Whereas, MedChi has provided critical support for the Maryland Primary Care Program (MDPCP) in both
6 Medicare and Medicaid, ensuring that family physicians and other physicians across Maryland have access to
7 transformative care delivery models that improve patient outcomes while reducing total cost of care; and
8

9 Whereas, pending federal legislation, HR 1, threatens the ability of states like Maryland to use directed
10 payments, thereby putting Medicaid participation in the MDPCP at serious risk; and
11

12 Whereas, the Medicare component of the MDPCP is currently scheduled to expire in 2028, creating uncertainty
13 for Maryland physicians and the patients they serve; therefore be it
14

15 Resolved, that MedChi continues leadership in preserving Episode Quality Improvement Program (EQIP),
16 supporting physician participation in value-based care, and advocating for the interests of Maryland physicians
17 and patients in both state and federal policy forums; and be it further
18

19 Resolved, that MedChi makes the preservation and continuation of the Maryland Primary Care Program
20 (MDPCP) for both Medicare and Medicaid a top organizational priority.

Fiscal Note: Included in the advocacy budget.

MEDCHI, THE MARYLAND STATE MEDICAL SOCIETY
HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution 24-25

INTRODUCED BY: Baltimore City Medical Society

SUBJECT: Continuous Glucose Monitoring Coverage for Patients with Prediabetes

1 Whereas, prediabetes is a significant public health concern, affecting over one-third of U.S. adults, and is
2 associated with an increased risk of progression to type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease, kidney disease,
3 neuropathy, and other serious end-organ complications; and
4

5 Whereas, effective early intervention in patients with prediabetes can prevent or delay the onset of diabetes,
6 reduce long-term complications, and lower healthcare costs through proactive disease management; and
7

8 Whereas, continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) has been shown to improve patient awareness of glycemic
9 patterns, facilitate lifestyle modification, and enhance clinician-guided interventions, thereby serving as a
10 preventive tool in delaying or halting disease progression; and
11

12 Whereas, current insurance coverage for CGM is generally limited to patients with established diabetes on
13 intensive insulin therapy, leaving millions of individuals with prediabetes without access to this proven
14 preventive technology; now therefore be it
15

16 Resolved, that MedChi, The Maryland State Medical Society, advocate for insurance coverage of continuous
17 glucose monitoring for high risk patients (i.e. patients with one of one or more of these risks - obesity, family
18 history, hypertension, dyslipidemia, history of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM), (or belonging to a high-risk
19 ethnic group); diagnosed with prediabetes, as a preventive measure to reduce disease progression and mitigate
20 the onset of insulin dependence, cardiovascular complications, renal failure, and neuropathy; and be it further
21

22 Resolved, that MedChi, The Maryland State Medical Society work with the American Medical Association to
23 advance policy supporting insurer coverage of continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) for patients with
24 prediabetes at the national level.

Fiscal Note: Covered in AMA Budget.

MEDCHI, THE MARYLAND STATE MEDICAL SOCIETY
HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution 25-25

INTRODUCED BY: Anne Arundel County Medical Society, Baltimore City Medical Society
Baltimore County Medical Association, Howard County Medical Association,
Montgomery County Medical Association, and Prince George's County Medical
Society

SUBJECT: Continued Advocacy on Medicaid

1 Whereas, MedChi, The Maryland State Medical Society, has been a leading voice in Annapolis on behalf of
2 physicians and patients, ensuring that physician perspectives were heard during debate on HR 1 and other major
3 legislation; and
4

5 Whereas, MedChi successfully advocated to protect Maryland's unique health care model and pushed back
6 against provisions in HR 1 that would have harmed physicians, patients, and the public health; and
7

8 Whereas, MedChi has consistently elevated Medicaid issues as a top priority, working to increase funding,
9 improve access, and address the chronic underpayment of physicians in the program; and
10

11 Whereas, MedChi has been recognized by legislators and stakeholders as the leading advocate for Maryland's
12 physicians in Annapolis, working tirelessly to ensure fair treatment and appropriate reimbursement for
13 physician services; and
14

15 Whereas, significant challenges remain with Medicaid payment, particularly with direct payment systems that
16 create unnecessary barriers, administrative burdens, and inequities for physicians; therefore be it
17

18 Resolved, that MedChi reaffirms Medicaid advocacy as one of its highest policy priorities, and will continue to
19 fight for adequate funding, fair payment, and improved patient access within the program.

Fiscal Note: Included in the advocacy budget.

MEDCHI, THE MARYLAND STATE MEDICAL SOCIETY
HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution 26-25

INTRODUCED BY: Montgomery County Medical Society

SUBJECT: Commercial Insurance Payments to Equal or Exceed Medicare

1 Whereas, Maryland is rated 49th of 50 states for payments from insurers; and

2
3 Whereas, it is difficult to recruit physicians to join practices in Maryland because of the low salaries offered
4 compared to other states which correlates directly with low health insurance payments; and

5
6 Whereas, Maryland physicians are taking their Maryland patients to contiguous states and the District of
7 Columbia where they are better compensated by insurers to perform the same procedures and treatments; and

8
9 Whereas, Maryland has a workforce shortage in both primary care and specialty care; and

10
11 Whereas, Marylanders must wait 3-6 months for appointments with primary care physicians; and 6-9 months
12 for many specialists; and

13
14 Whereas, Maryland has one of the highest costs to practice and live in the United States; and

15
16 Whereas, Medicare is the benchmark for commercial carriers to base their payments; therefore be it

17
18 Resolved, that MedChi seek and/or support legislation, regulation or policies which will require commercial
19 payors to pay physicians at rates that align with the highest rates paid in other states and in national health care
20 programs due to the cost of practice in Maryland and the current and growing physician shortage, and be it
21 further

22
23 Resolved, that MedChi host an annual in-person program for legislators and regulators to educate them about
24 the socioeconomic factors, payor practices, and regulatory burdens which are causing the physician workforce
25 shortage in Maryland resulting in a lack of access to care for Marylanders.

Fiscal Note: Included in the advocacy budget and \$10,000 for an annual event. *Sponsorship cannot be done due to ethics restraints.

MEDCHI, THE MARYLAND STATE MEDICAL SOCIETY
HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution 27-25

INTRODUCED BY: Montgomery County Medical Society

SUBJECT: Strengthening Penalties for Payor Non-Compliance with Communication
Requirements Related to Utilization Review and Coverage Denials

1 Whereas, timely and reliable communication between health care providers and health plans is essential to
2 ensure continuity of care, reduce administrative burdens, and safeguard patient outcomes; and
3

4 Whereas, Section 15-10B-05 of the Insurance Article requires health plans to make representatives accessible to
5 patients and health care providers seven (7) days a week, twenty-four (24) hours a day, including maintaining a
6 direct telephone number and monitored email address for utilization review purposes and coverage denials; and
7

8 Whereas, despite these statutory requirements, physicians and health care providers continue to experience
9 delays and obstacles in obtaining timely responses from health plans, thereby undermining the intent of the law;
10 and
11

12 Whereas, stronger enforcement mechanisms and meaningful penalties are needed to ensure health plan
13 compliance with existing provisions of the Insurance Article; therefore be it
14

15 Resolved, that MedChi shall examine and take the necessary steps to increase penalties against insurers for
16 violations of Section 15-10B-05 and related provisions of the Insurance Article, to ensure timely
17 communication and accountability for utilization review and coverage denial questions; and be it further
18

19 Resolved, that MedChi work with the Maryland Insurance Administration and relevant stakeholders to ensure
20 compliance, oversight, and enforcement of the requirements set out in Section 15-10B-05 of the Insurance
21 Article.

Fiscal Note: Included in the advocacy budget.

MEDCHI, THE MARYLAND STATE MEDICAL SOCIETY
HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution 28-25

INTRODUCED BY: Montgomery County Medical Society

SUBJECT: Reinstitution of MedChi's Membership Committee & Membership Study

1 Whereas, physician practice modes are changing with many physicians joining with larger group practice,
2 becoming employed by hospitals, and/or being acquired by for-profit private equity firms, or choosing alternative
3 careers including being entrepreneurs and administrators, and
4

5 Whereas, these trends in Medicine impact MedChi and the component societies' programming, operations and
6 financial solvency, and
7

8 Whereas, to serve MedChi's and component societies' missions as representing the collective interests of
9 physicians, and to remain relevant and grow, MedChi and the component societies must adapt and respond to
10 these trends, and
11

12 Whereas, the current MedChi and component society membership categories do not fully reflect the changes in
13 physician careers, and some physicians may view them as limiting or excluding their engagement as members,
14 and
15

16 Whereas, any incentives to engage large group practices need to be better defined and used consistently to favor
17 those groups which are independent as opposed to those which have been acquired for private equity or are
18 associated with for-profit entities, and
19

20 Whereas, MedChi and component societies have not raised dues since 2007; and
21

22 Whereas, MedChi does not presently have a Membership Committee to address the aforementioned issues, be it
23 therefore
24

25 Resolved, that MedChi reinstitute its Membership Committee to include physician members and staff from each
26 component society coordinate and enhance MedChi's and the component medical societies' membership
27 recruitment, engagement and retention efforts; and that MedChi's Membership Committee conduct a
28 comprehensive study and report back to MedChi's House of Delegates by Spring 2026 to include, but not be
29 limited to, a review of all membership classifications and related dues strategies to determine their adequacy for
30 inclusion of all physicians as members, review of appropriate use of group practice incentives to develop
31 appropriate standardized guidelines, and a review of current MedChi dues compared to other state medical
32 societies with the goal of ensuring the solvency of both MedChi and component societies.

Fiscal Note: Cost to staff, committee, and cost to complete the study.

MEDCHI, THE MARYLAND STATE MEDICAL SOCIETY
HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution 29-25

INTRODUCED BY: Montgomery County Medical Society

SUBJECT: AMA's Vision for American Healthcare

1 Whereas, the American Medical Association's mission is to "To promote the art and science of medicine and
2 the betterment of public health," and
3

4 Whereas, the AMA is intended to be THE national voice of physicians and their patients; and
5

6 Whereas, the AMA has been often silent on many of the current Administration's detrimental decisions related
7 to science, public health, and access; and
8

9 Whereas, the AMA's House of Delegates has adopted policy on critical issues of importance on the nation's
10 access to and delivery of healthcare; and
11

12 Whereas, America's physicians and patients deserve effective advocacy on these matters; and
13

14 Whereas, America's health care system is broken and is failing America's patients and physicians; and
15

16 Whereas, there is a lack of vision for the reform of our health care system to address ensuring universal and
17 affordable access to quality care, promoting health equity, and fostering a system that prioritizes patient well-
18 being and value-driven care, and includes addressing social determinants of health, reducing health disparities,
19 and utilizing technology to improve patient care and outcomes; and
20

21 Whereas, without such an articulated vision, the American Medical Association is reactive to the various
22 actions of Congress and the Executive Branch; therefore be it
23

24 Resolved, that the Maryland Delegation to the American Medical Association submit a resolution at the Annual
25 2026 Meeting of the AMA House of Delegates to ask the AMA, based on current policy, to articulate its multi-
26 point VISION FOR AMERICAN HEALTHCARE for adoption by the Interim 2026 AMA House of Delegates
27 meeting and request the AMA to publicize and promote its newly created VISION FOR AMERICAN
28 HEALTH CARE throughout the United States to inform patients and physicians what the AMA stands for and
29 what patients and physicians can expect the AMA to use as its platform and guiding principles until its vision is
30 achieved.

Fiscal budget Included in the advocacy budget.

MEDCHI, THE MARYLAND STATE MEDICAL SOCIETY
HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution 30-25

INTRODUCED BY: Montgomery County Medical Society

SUBJECT: Allied Health Professionals Workforce Shortage

1 Whereas, physician practices struggle with having adequately trained staffing to run their practices; and

2
3 Whereas, qualified allied health professionals, including but not limited to Radiology Technicians,
4 Sonographers, Medical Assistants, Certified Nursing Assistants and LPNs are in great demand and the pool of
5 available candidates is quite limited; and

6
7 Whereas, these individuals who live in Maryland often work in D.C. and Virginia where compensation is
8 higher; therefore be it

9
10 Resolved, that MedChi communicate to technical colleges and community colleges the need for a greater supply
11 of allied health professionals, more specifically healthcare support occupations (medical assistants, nursing
12 assistants, and phlebotomists), and healthcare practitioners and technical personnel (sonographers radiology
13 technicians, LPNs) and encourage them to expand their training capacity as soon as possible to meet the
14 demand of medical practices and healthcare organizations, and be it further

15
16 Resolved, that MedChi seek and/or support legislative and/or regulation which would support training
17 initiatives and incentives for allied health professionals to remain in Maryland.

Fiscal Note: Included in the advocacy budget.

MEDCHI, THE MARYLAND STATE MEDICAL SOCIETY
HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution 31-25

INTRODUCED BY: MedChi Board of Trustees

SUBJECT: Legislation to Provide Protection to the Maryland Physician Health Program

1 Whereas, MedChi's affiliate the Center for a Healthy Maryland, Inc. operates both the Maryland Physician
2 Rehabilitation Program (MPRP) and Maryland Physician Health Program (MPHP); and
3

4 Whereas, the MPRP and MPHP serve as vital, complementary resources that support physicians and other health
5 care practitioners by facilitating confidential evaluation, treatment, and monitoring; and
6

7 Whereas, the Maryland Board of Physicians (BOP) refers physicians and other licensees regulated by the BOP to the
8 MPRP in order to assist licensees with complying with BOP orders or directives; and
9

10 Whereas, the MPRP is provided with statutory protection for actions taken by the MPRP without malice and from
11 records, proceedings, and files being discoverable or admissible in civil and criminal actions except in limited and
12 specific circumstances; and
13

14 Whereas, the MPHP is an independent, confidential, and voluntary physician health program that is not affiliated
15 with the BOP, which facilitates evaluation, treatment, and monitoring of physicians for mental health challenges,
16 substance use disorders, burnout, stress, or related conditions; and
17

18 Whereas, MPHP does not have similar statutory protections afforded to the MPRP; and
19

20 Whereas, the lack of statutory protections for the MPHP may discourage physicians from seeking assistance early;
21 and
22

23 Whereas, aligning protections across both programs would strengthen a safe, accountable, and confidential pathway
24 for physicians to obtain help early; therefore be it
25

26 Resolved, that MedChi, The Maryland State Medical Society, advocate for legislation to provide the Maryland
27 Physician Health Program (MPHP) with the same or similar statutory protections as the Maryland Physician
28 Rehabilitation Program (MPRP), including, but not limited to, (1) confidentiality of records and files; (2) prohibit
29 discovery or admission of MPHP participant records and files; and (3) immunity for the MPHP for good-faith
30 actions.

Fiscal Note: Included in the advocacy budget.

MEDCHI, THE MARYLAND STATE MEDICAL SOCIETY
HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution 32-25

INTRODUCED BY: Medical Student Section
Authored by: Umailla Naeem, of University of Maryland, School of Medicine

SUBJECT: Mandatory Artificial Intelligence Literacy Education in Maryland Medical Schools

Whereas, artificial intelligence (AI) use in healthcare has rapidly expanded, with 66% of physicians reporting use of AI tools in their practice as of 2024, representing a 78% increase from 2023; and

Whereas, more than 900 AI health tools have received FDA approval as of 2024, with applications ranging from diagnostic imaging to clinical decision support, fundamentally changing the practice of medicine; and

Whereas, despite widespread AI adoption in clinical practice, a 2024 international survey of over 4,500 medical, dental, and veterinary students across 192 institutions found that over 75% reported receiving no formal AI education in their curriculum; and

Whereas, AI systems in healthcare have demonstrated significant bias, including diagnostic algorithms that perform differently across racial and ethnic groups, with documented cases of AI systems making diagnostic errors based on flawed training data; and

Whereas, examples of AI bias in medicine include algorithms that underestimate kidney disease severity in Black patients and pain assessment tools that incorporate false beliefs about biological differences between racial groups; and

Whereas, medical students and physicians report concerns about AI liability, with 40% of physicians expressing worry about malpractice risk when using AI, yet lack clear guidance on appropriate AI use and limitations; and

Whereas, the American Medical Association has established principles for AI development and deployment in healthcare, emphasizing the need for physician education on AI capabilities and limitations; and

Whereas, Maryland medical schools serve diverse patient populations in Maryland who may be disproportionately affected by biased AI systems if physicians are not trained to recognize and mitigate AI bias; and

Whereas, effective AI literacy education for medical students must include understanding of AI bias detection, ethical decision-making frameworks, and clear communication with patients about AI use in their care; therefore be it

Resolved, that MedChi, The Maryland State Medical Society, supports requiring Maryland medical schools to implement comprehensive artificial intelligence (AI) literacy curricula that includes bias recognition in AI systems, ethical AI use in clinical decision-making, patient communication about AI-assisted care, practical training on evaluating AI tool outputs for potential bias, understanding AI limitations, and maintaining physician judgment in AI-assisted clinical decision-making; and that MedChi, The Maryland State Medical Society, encourages Maryland medical schools to integrate AI literacy education longitudinally throughout medical education rather than as isolated coursework, ensuring students develop competency in AI use before entering clinical practice.

Fiscal Note: Included in the advocacy budget.

References:

1. American Medical Association. (2025). 2 in 3 physicians are using health AI—up 78% from 2023. Retrieved from <https://www.ama-assn.org/practice-management/digital-health/2-3-physicians-are-using-health-ai-78-2023>
2. Windecker D, Baj G, Shiri I, et al. Generalizability of FDA-Approved AI-Enabled Medical Devices for Clinical Use. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2025;8(4):e258052. Published 2025 Apr 1. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2025.8052
3. Busch F, Hoffmann L, Truhn D, et al. Global cross-sectional student survey on AI in medical, dental, and veterinary education and practice at 192 faculties. *BMC Med Educ*. 2024;24(1):1066. Published 2024 Sep 28. doi:10.1186/s12909-024-06035-4
4. Cross JL, Choma MA, Onofrey JA. Bias in medical AI: Implications for clinical decision-making. *PLOS Digit Health*. 2024;3(11):e0000651. Published 2024 Nov 7. doi:10.1371/journal.pdig.0000651
5. Vyas DA, Eisenstein LG, Jones DS. Hidden in Plain Sight - Reconsidering the Use of Race Correction in Clinical Algorithms. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;383(9):874-882. doi:10.1056/NEJMms2004740
6. Healthcare Brew. (2025). Are doctors liable when AI makes a mistake? Malpractice experts weigh in. Retrieved April 1, 2025, from <https://www.healthcare-brew.com/stories/2025/04/01/doctors-liable-ai-mistake-malpractice>
7. American Medical Association. (2025). Augmented intelligence in medicine. Retrieved from <https://www.ama-assn.org/practice-management/digital-health/augmented-intelligence-medicine>
8. DataUSA. (2023). Baltimore, MD demographic profile. Retrieved from <https://datausa.io/profile/geo/baltimore-md/>

MEDCHI, THE MARYLAND STATE MEDICAL SOCIETY
HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution 33-25

INTRODUCED BY: Medical Student Section
Authored by: Umailla Naeem, of University of Maryland, School of Medicine

SUBJECT: Artificial Intelligence Transparency and Disclosure in Patient Care

Whereas, artificial intelligence systems are increasingly integrated into clinical decision-making, with 66% of physicians reporting use of AI tools in practice as of 2024, including diagnostic imaging, clinical decision support, and treatment recommendations; and

Whereas, patients have a fundamental right to understand the basis of their medical care, including knowledge of technologies, tools, and processes used in their diagnosis and treatment decisions; and

Whereas, current informed consent practices in healthcare often do not address the use of AI systems, despite these tools potentially influencing critical medical decisions about patient care; and

Whereas, studies demonstrate that patients express varying levels of comfort with AI use in their healthcare, with many patients preferring to be informed when AI contributes to their medical care and wanting to understand the role AI plays in their treatment decisions; and

Whereas, transparency about AI use is essential for maintaining patient trust and enabling patients to make informed decisions about their care, particularly as AI systems may have limitations, biases, or uncertainties that could affect patient outcomes; and

Whereas, some AI diagnostic tools have demonstrated errors or biases that could impact patient care, including algorithms that perform differently across demographic groups, making disclosure particularly important for vulnerable populations; and

Whereas, healthcare providers using AI systems may have varying levels of understanding about how these tools function, their limitations, and their potential biases, which could affect their ability to appropriately counsel patients; and

Whereas, the American Medical Association's principles for AI in healthcare emphasize the importance of transparency and patient autonomy in AI-assisted care; and

Whereas, Maryland has a tradition of supporting patient rights and informed consent in healthcare, including requirements for disclosure of material information that could affect patient treatment decisions; and

Whereas, establishing clear standards for AI disclosure would provide consistency across Maryland healthcare facilities and ensure patients receive uniform protection regardless of where they seek care; therefore be it

Resolved, that the MedChi, the Maryland State Medical Society, supports requiring healthcare providers in Maryland to disclose to patients when AI systems are used in their diagnosis, treatment recommendations, or care planning, and to explain the role of AI in their care decisions; and be it further

Resolved, that the MedChi, the Maryland State Medical Society, that MedChi supports requiring such

- 1 disclosure to include information about the AI system's function, its limitations, and the physician's role in
2 interpreting and acting upon AI-generated recommendations; and be it further
3
- 4 Resolved, that the MedChi, the Maryland State Medical Society, encourages the development of standardized
5 disclosure protocols that enable healthcare providers to communicate AI use in an understandable manner
6 appropriate to diverse patient populations and health literacy levels; and be it further
7
- 8 Resolved, that the MedChi, the Maryland State Medical Society, supports ensuring that patients maintain the
9 right to request care decisions be made without AI assistance when medically appropriate and feasible.
-

Fiscal Note: Included in the advocacy budget.

References:

¹ American Medical Association. (2025). 2 in 3 physicians are using health AI—up 78% from 2023. Retrieved from <https://www.ama-assn.org/practice-management/digital-health/2-3-physicians-are-using-health-ai-78-2023>

¹ Journal of Medical Ethics. (2021). AI in healthcare: The need for transparency in clinical decision-making. Retrieved from <https://journalofethics.ama-assn.org/article/are-current-tort-liability-doctrines-adequate-addressing-injury-caused-ai/2019-02>

¹ Kühne S, Jacobsen J, Legewie N, Dollmann J. Attitudes Toward AI Usage in Patient Health Care: Evidence From a Population Survey Vignette Experiment. *J Med Internet Res*. 2025;27:e70179. Published 2025 May 27. doi:10.2196/70179

¹ Topol EJ. High-performance medicine: the convergence of human and artificial intelligence. *Nat Med*. 2019;25(1):44-56. doi:10.1038/s41591-018-0300-7

¹ Obermeyer Z, Powers B, Vogeli C, Mullainathan S. Dissecting racial bias in an algorithm used to manage the health of populations. *Science*. 2019;366(6464):447-453. doi:10.1126/science.aax2342

¹ Harvard Gazette. (2025). How AI is transforming medicine. <https://news.harvard.edu/gazette/story/2025/03/how-ai-is-transforming-medicine-healthcare/>

¹ American Medical Association. (2025). Augmented intelligence in medicine. Retrieved from <https://www.ama-assn.org/practice-management/digital-health/augmented-intelligence-medicine>

¹ Maryland Code, Health-General § 5-605 and § 5-607

MEDCHI, THE MARYLAND STATE MEDICAL SOCIETY
HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution 34-25

INTRODUCED BY: Montgomery County Medical Society

SUBJECT: Requiring Maryland Health Plans to Maintain Accessible, Monitored Physician
Communication Channels Specifically for Credentialing, Contracting and Claims

1 Whereas, physicians and clinicians in Maryland face significant administrative burdens and patient care delays
2 due to the lack of reliable and timely communication channels with health plans; and
3

4 Whereas, existing communication processes for credentialing, contracting and claims, determinations are
5 frequently inefficient, unmonitored, or unresponsive, creating barriers to practice operations and patient access
6 to care; and
7

8 Whereas, timely resolution of these issues is critical to maintaining practice viability, ensuring physician well-
9 being, and safeguarding patient care; and
10

11 Whereas, health plans doing business in Maryland should be held accountable for providing accessible,
12 transparent, and responsive communication systems for physicians and clinicians; therefore be it
13

14 Resolved, that MedChi, The Maryland State Medical Society, seek and/or support legislation and/or regulation
15 requiring any health plan operating in Maryland to establish and maintain monitored communication channels,
16 including both a separate and distinct toll-free 1-800 telephone number and a monitored email address for each
17 of the following: 1) Credentialing questions and status; 2) Contracting issues, questions and status, and 3)
18 Claims inquiries and status, available Monday through Friday, 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. ET, for use by physicians
19 and clinicians; and that these communication channels shall be required to provide acknowledgment of receipt
20 and substantive responses via email or phone to physician and clinician inquiries within seven (7) business
21 days, and communication is not received by the physician and/or clinician within seven (7) business days, the
22 physician can file a complaint with the Maryland Insurance Administration.

Fiscal Note: Included in the advocacy budget.

MEDCHI, THE MARYLAND STATE MEDICAL SOCIETY
HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Resolution 35-25

INTRODUCED BY: Montgomery County Medical Society

SUBJECT: Examining MedChi's House of Delegates Focus for Enhanced Legislative
 Success

1 Whereas, currently, the late October Annual Meeting of MedChi's House of Delegates has been designated as
2 the policymaking meeting to consider resolutions which call for legislative and regulatory action; and
3

4 Whereas, the approved resolutions from the October Annual Meeting of the House of Delegates and pre-
5 existing MedChi legislative priorities result in development of the Legislative Agenda for the upcoming session
6 which is then approved by the Board of Trustees at their November meeting; and
7

8 Whereas, following the approval of the Legislative Agenda, MedChi's lobbyists then have approximately eight
9 weeks to research and develop legislation, solicit sponsors, and develop strategy to be successful in their
10 lobbying effort to pass MedChi's Legislative Agenda, and
11

12 Whereas, legislators have noted that they would prefer to learn of MedChi's Legislative Agenda and physicians'
13 concerns earlier in the year so that they could be more informed and be of more assistance in the passage of
14 such legislation; and
15

16 Whereas, to accomplish establishing the annual legislative agenda sooner requires a change in the focus of the
17 two meetings of the House of Delegates including making the April House of Delegates the meeting to be
18 focused on the Legislative Agenda, and
19

20 Whereas, by refocusing the April House of Delegates meeting as the legislative meeting, it would give the
21 lobbyists more time to study the resolutions which could be passed by the House of Delegates "provisionally"
22 to determine their viability and resources required, and best strategy for accomplishing the legislative agenda,
23 and
24

25 Whereas, MedChi's lobbyists could then bring a report of the provisionally-passed resolutions including
26 priorities and an estimate of resources required to the MedChi Board of Trustees for approval of the final
27 legislative agenda, therefore be it
28

29 Resolved, that MedChi's Board of Trustees initiate a study with a report back to the House of Delegates at the
30 April 2026 meeting to determine if changes in the focus of the April and October Houses of Delegates could be
31 advantageous to the successful passage of MedChi's annual Legislative Agenda, and assess other changes in the
32 House of Delegates' proceedings which may need to be changed as a result.

Fiscal Note: Cost of staffing and creating the study.